

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/775,717	(02/01/2001	Beerud D. Sheth	21673-05635	5333	
758	7590	07/27/2005		EXAMINER		
FENWICK				HAVAN, T	HU THAO	
SILICON V. 801 CALIFO				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MOUNTAIN	N VIEW,	CA 94041		3624		
				DATE MAIL ED: 07/27/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Anthon Commence	09/775,717	SHETH, BEERUD D.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Thu Thao Havan	3624					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address					
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION making of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ci SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a interpretation. a reply within the statutory minimum of third seriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 August 2001.						
2a) <u></u>	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex paπe Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement						
٥,١	are subject to restriction a	navor election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exa							
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 May 2001</u> is/are							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to							
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	_	•	d).				
'''	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form P1O-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).					
•	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents.3. Copies of the certified copies of the		·—·—					
	application from the International Bu		received in this ivational Stage					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.					
Attachment								
1) 🔀 Notice 2) 🗍 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date					
3) 🔀 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI No(s)/Mail Date 5/6/05, 5/20/04,	3/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) Continuation Sheet.					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: IDS: 1/26/04, 10/24/03, 9/22/03, 4/8/03, 2/1/01.

Art Unit: 3624

Detailed Action

Drawings

The Examiner accepts the drawings filed on May 11, 2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims **1-30** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (US 5,862,223).

Re claims 1 and 21, Walker teaches a computer implemented method for procuring services (abstract), comprising:

establishing a private marketplace to a predetermined set of buyers and a preidentified set of vendors (fig. 5);

facilitating a buyer's inviting bids on a project from a subset of the vendors (<u>col. 37</u>, <u>line 42 to col. 38</u>, <u>line 3</u>);

receiving at least one bid on the project from at least one of the subset of vendors (fig. 30);

facilitating the buyer's acceptance of one of the bids (col. 38, lines 51-56; fig. 32); and facilitating work on the project by the vendor and the buyer in a collaborative workspace (col. 2, lines 47-63; col. 28, lines 39-52; fig. 35). In other words, Walker

Art Unit: 3624

discloses collaborative workspace by matching the real work commerce. The bidding and auction are implemented in a network environment with matching experts.

However, Walker does not explicitly teach access restricted. Nevertheless, Walker discloses authenticated result that corresponds with access restricted (col. 30, lines 26-67; fig. 24 (element 2415)). He discloses the practice of using cryptographic protocols to ensure the authenticity of senders as well as the integrity of messages is well known in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to access restricted information by having a authenticated system wherein the accessing of information is restricted to particular users as discloses in Walker.

Re claims **2**, **12**, and **22**, Walker teaches private marketplace is an online marketplace and establishing the private marketplace further comprising customizing the look and feel of the online marketplace (col. 7, lines 5-29). Walker discloses customizing when he allows a user to produce a job request suitable for consideration by an expert. Thus, when a user changes the information as he sees suitable than he is customizing the information.

Re claims **3**, **13**, and **23**, Walker teaches establishing of the private marketplace further comprises managing the pre-identified set of vendors (<u>col. 8</u>, <u>lines 16-27</u>). Walker discloses experts as corresponding to set of vendors. The experts are managed by the database system to see if they are qualified.

Re claims **4**, **14**, and **24**, Walker teaches restricting the access of the buyers and vendors to one or more projects within the private marketplace (<u>col. 25</u>, <u>lines 20-52</u>). Walker restricts buyers and vendors to particular projects such as finance, real estate, medicine, or etc.

Art Unit: 3624

Re claims **5**, **15**, and **25**, Walker teaches receiving invoices from the vendors for services provided by the vendors to the buyers, the invoices received at a centralized location (fig. 10);

consolidating at the centralized location the invoices received for the predetermined set of buyers (col. 22, lines 12-45);

sending a bill from the centralized location to an owner of the private marketplace (col. 24, lines 22-60);

receiving money at the centralized location from the owner of the private marketplace (fig. 13); and

distributing the money to the vendors (col. 23, line 47 to col. 24, line 5).

Re claims **6**, **16**, and **26**, Walker teaches obtaining project approval before one or more stages in the procurement of services (<u>col. 26</u>, <u>lines 6-15</u>). Walker discloses an expert accepting the project before one or more stages in the procurement of services.

Re claims **7**, **17**, and **27**, Walker teaches monitoring the private marketplace (<u>fig. 6</u>).

In figure 6, Walker discloses the central controller as the monitoring system for the private marketplace.

Re claims **8**, **18**, and **28**, Walker teaches monitoring the private marketplace further comprises generation of requested reports (col. 21, lines 12-26). Walker discloses requested reports when he generates a price for each end user request.

Re claims **9**, **19**, and **29**, Walker teaches monitoring the private marketplace further comprises generation of planning reports (fig. 7).

Art Unit: 3624

Re claims **10**, **20**, and **30**, Walker teaches monitoring the private marketplace further comprises generation of performance measurement reports (fig. 22). *In figure 22, element 2220, Walker discloses list of graders as a performance measurement reports.*

Re claim 11, Walker teaches a computer program as claimed in claims 1 and 21. In particular, Walker discloses program code when he teaches payment module to implement the application (fig. 10). Therefore the rationale applied in the rejection of claim 11 applies herein.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bi et al, US patent no. 6,311,178, teaches matching system;

Silverman et al, US patent no. 5,924,082, is directed to negotiated matching system;

Fraser, US patent no. 5,664,115, discloses matching system between buyers and sellers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3624

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct-uspto.gov/. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TTH 7/12/2005

> HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER